

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 12405 of 1994

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

JASTWANTLAL FAKIRCHAND

Versus

ABAD URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Appearance:

MR MANOJ N POPAT for Petitioner

MR SN SHELAT for Respondent No. 1

SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

Date of decision: 14/03/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

When the matter was called out, the learned counsel for the petitioner Mr Manoj Popat under instructions submitted that the petitioner is allotted new plot and he would like to make representation to the respondents for appropriate and suitable allotment of plot and therefore, at this stage, the petitioner does not want to pursue the

petition and it is therefore requested that permission may be granted to withdraw the petition. It is also requested that the respondents should be directed to consider the representation sympathetically.

In view of the aforesaid statement, permission is granted. Obviously, the respondent will consider the representation and will examine the possibility of allotting suitable and convenient other plot in a cluster as it was considered while making earlier allotment. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of as having been withdrawn with no order as to costs. Rule discharged. Interim relief shall stand vacated.

Direct service.

.....